



Hermite Subdivision Schemes and Taylor Polynomials

Serge Dubuc, Jean-Louis Merrien

► To cite this version:

Serge Dubuc, Jean-Louis Merrien. Hermite Subdivision Schemes and Taylor Polynomials. *Constructive Approximation*, 2009, 29 (2), pp.219-245. 10.1007/s00365-008-9011-5 . hal-00133615

HAL Id: hal-00133615

<https://hal.science/hal-00133615>

Submitted on 27 Feb 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hermite Subdivision Schemes and Taylor Polynomials

Serge Dubuc and Jean-Louis Merrien

February 20, 2007

Abstract

We propose a general study of the convergence of a Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} of degree $d > 0$ in dimension 1. This is done by linking Hermite subdivision schemes and Taylor polynomials and by associating a so-called Taylor subdivision (vector) scheme \mathcal{S} . The main point of investigation is a spectral condition. If the subdivision scheme of the finite differences of \mathcal{S} is contractive, then \mathcal{S} is C^0 and \mathcal{H} is C^d . We apply this result to two families of Hermite subdivision schemes, the first one is interpolatory, the second one is a kind of corner cutting, both of them use Obreshkov interpolation polynomial.

1 Introduction

A *Hermite subdivision scheme* \mathcal{H} of degree d is a recursive scheme for computing a function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its d derivatives $\phi', \dots, \phi^{(d)}$. The initial state of the scheme is a vector function $f_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. The first component of f_0 is a control value for ϕ , the second component, for ϕ' and so on. The sequence of *refinements* $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $n > 0$, is recursively defined through a family of $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices $\{A(\alpha) = (a_{ij}(\alpha))_{i,j=0,\dots,d}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$, a finite number of them being non-zero, by

$$D^{n+1}f_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta) D^n f_n(\beta), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where D is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are $1, 1/2, \dots, 1/2^d$.

Another way of writing the previous equation is

$$f_{n+1}^{(i)}(\alpha)/2^{i(n+1)} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^d a_{ij}(\alpha - 2\beta) f_n^{(j)}(\beta)/2^{jn}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d \quad (2)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $f_n(\alpha) = (f_n^{(0)}(\alpha), \dots, f_n^{(d)}(\alpha))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.

The family of matrices $\{A(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called the *mask* of the Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} . The *support* of \mathcal{H} is the smallest interval $[\sigma, \sigma']$ containing $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} : A(\alpha) \neq 0\}$.

The analysis of interpolatory Hermite subdivision schemes of degree 1 has been initiated by Merrien [13] and by Dyn and Levin [7]. The first investigations of Hermite-type subdivision schemes of degree larger than 1 have been proposed by Merrien [14], Dyn and Levin [8], Zhou [18], Han [9] and Yu [17]. They have given the theory and the tools (through Fourier transforms for the last three) for analyzing the convergence and smoothness of Hermite-type interpolatory schemes. However, there has been no such analysis for noninterpolatory Hermite subdivision schemes. In this paper, we expect to cover this gap.

The paper is divided into seven sections including the introduction. In Section 2, we define the notion of C^d convergence for a Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} of degree d and the Taylor condition about the refinements of \mathcal{H} . The main result of Section 3 is that a nondegenerate Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} satisfying a weak form of the Taylor condition always satisfies a spectral condition. This spectral condition has many implications such as the reproduction of polynomials. In Section 4, with every Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} satisfying the spectral condition, we associate a vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} , the so-called Taylor subdivision scheme. In Section 5, we propose a sufficient condition for C^d convergence of a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d . This criterion is that the subdivision matrix T of the finite differences arising from the Taylor subdivision scheme is contractive. When this is the case, the Taylor subdivision scheme is C^0 and the Hermite subdivision scheme is C^d . In Section 6, for every positive integer d , we consider two specific Hermite subdivision schemes HIS^d and HCC^d . The first one is interpolatory by solving a basic Hermite problem whose solution is a piecewise Hermite polynomial. The second one is non interpolatory and is a kind of corner cutting which is a generalization of the well known Chaikin's algorithm. For each of these schemes, for $d = 1, 2, 3$, we compute the subdivision matrix T and we check that its spectral radius is < 1 .

2 Convergence and Taylor Condition

We define the notion of C^d convergence for a Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} of degree d and the Taylor condition about the refinements of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 1 *We say that a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d is C^d if for every sequence of refinements $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, there exists a C^d -function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $L > 0$, there exists N such that for every $n > N$, for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$, $|f_n^{(0)}(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha/2^n)| < \epsilon$, $|f_n^{(1)}(\alpha) - \phi'(\alpha/2^n)| < \epsilon$,*

..., $|f_n^{(d)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(d)}(\alpha/2^n)| < \epsilon$. The function ϕ is called the limit function associated to the refinements f_n .

Definition 2 Let d be a positive integer, we say that a sequence of $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ fulfills the Taylor condition if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $L > 0$, there exists N such that for every $n > N$,

$$|f_n^{(i)}(\alpha + 1)/2^{in} - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{1}{(j-i)!} f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)/2^{jn}| < \epsilon/2^{dn} \quad (3)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, d$, for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. The Taylor condition is satisfied by a Hermite subdivision scheme if every sequence of its refinements fulfills the Taylor condition.

Lemma 1 Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^d function. If for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $f_n^{(0)}(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha/2^n)$, $f_n^{(1)}(\alpha) = \phi'(\alpha/2^n)$, ..., $f_n^{(d)}(\alpha) = \phi^{(d)}(\alpha/2^n)$, then the Taylor condition (3) is satisfied by the sequence $f_n = (f_n^{(0)}, f_n^{(1)}, \dots, f_n^{(d)})^T$.

Proof: Let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, the Taylor expansion gives the existence of $\theta_i \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\phi^{(i)}((\alpha + 1)/2^n) = \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} \frac{\phi^{(j)}(\alpha/2^n)}{(j-i)!} / 2^{n(j-i)} + \frac{\phi^{(d)}((\alpha + \theta_i)/2^n)}{(d-i)!} / 2^{n(d-i)}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\phi^{(i)}((\alpha + 1)/2^n)}{2^{in}} - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{\phi^{(j)}(\alpha/2^n)}{(j-i)! 2^{jn}} = \frac{\phi^{(d)}((\alpha + \theta_i)/2^n) - \phi^{(d)}(\alpha/2^n)}{(d-i)! 2^{dn}}$$

From that, for every $L > 0$, since $\phi^{(d)}$ is uniformly continuous on $[-L, L + 1]$, for $i = 0, \dots, d$,

$$\phi^{(i)}((\alpha + 1)/2^n)/2^{in} - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{1}{(j-i)!} \phi^{(j)}(\alpha/2^n)/2^{jn} = o(1/2^{dn})$$

uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

A Hermite subdivision scheme is *interpolatory* if $A(0) = D$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, $A(2\alpha) = 0$. In this case, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_n(\alpha) = f_{n+1}(2\alpha)$.

Corollary 2 In a given interpolatory C^d Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d , the Taylor condition (3) is satisfied.

3 Spectral properties of Hermite schemes

The main result of this section is that a nondegenerate Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} satisfying a weak form of the Taylor condition will verify a spectral condition. This spectral condition has many implications, in the first instance the determination of the behavior of the refinements of \mathcal{H} . Before reaching the main result, some lemmas are required. We use the notation \mathcal{P}_d for the space of polynomials of degree inferior or equal to d .

Lemma 3 *Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_d$ and $f(\alpha) = (p(\alpha), p'(\alpha), \dots, p^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$f^{(i)}(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{j=i}^d f^{(j)}(\alpha)/(j-i)! = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_d$, then $p^{(d+1)}(x) = 0$. Let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, the Taylor expansion of $p^{(i)}$ (the i th derivative of p) is $p^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{j=i}^d p^{(j)}(a)(x-a)^{j-i}/(j-i)!$. We set $a = \alpha$, $x = \alpha + 1$, we obtain $f^{(i)}(\alpha + 1) = \sum_{j=i}^d f^{(j)}(\alpha)/(j-i)!$. \square

Lemma 4 *Let $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(d)})^T$ where $f^{(i)} : [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ and where $b - a \geq 1$, we assume that for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, for every $\alpha \in [a, b-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$f^{(i)}(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{j=i}^d f^{(j)}(\alpha)/(j-i)! = 0, \quad (4)$$

then there exists a polynomial p of degree less than or equal to d such that $f(\alpha) = (p(\alpha), p'(\alpha), \dots, p^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ for every $\alpha \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: If $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we substitute a by $\min[a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and we set $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d f^{(k)}(a)/k!(x-a)^k$ and $v(\alpha) = (p(\alpha), p'(\alpha), \dots, p^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ and $h(\alpha) = f(\alpha) - v(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We define the linear map $Qf = g$ where $g : [a, b-1] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is defined as follows

$$g^{(i)}(\alpha) = f^{(i)}(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{j=i}^d f^{(j)}(\alpha)/(j-i)!, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

From Lemma 3, $Qv = 0$. By hypothesis, $Qf = 0$, thus $Qh = 0$. It follows that for every $\alpha \in [a, b-1]$, and for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, we get $h^{(i)}(\alpha + 1) = \sum_{j=i}^d h^{(j)}(\alpha)/(j-i)!$. Since $h(a) = 0$, by induction, we get that for every $\alpha \in [a, b]$, $h(\alpha) = 0$ so that $f = v$. \square

The two previous lemmas provide a characterization of the finite difference equation (4). We recall a lemma (Proof can be found in [5] Lemma 20)

Lemma 5 Let z_0, z_2, \dots, z_d be $d+1$ distinct complex numbers and p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d be $d+1$ nonzero vector polynomials with values in the same finite vector space, we assume that the sequence of vectors $\sum_{k=0}^d p_k(n) z_k^n$ converges to c . If $c \neq 0$, then there exists an integer $j \in [0, d]$ such that $z_j = 1$ and $p_j(n) \equiv c$ and for every other integer $k \in [0, d]$, $|z_k| < 1$. If $c = 0$, then for every integer $k \in [0, d]$, $|z_k| < 1$.

Lemma 6 Let \mathcal{H} be a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d with mask $\{A(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$ whose support is contained in $[\sigma, \sigma']$. Let E be the set of vectors $v = (v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(d)})^T$ where $v^{(i)} : [-\sigma', -\sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$. We consider the linear operator T in E : $Tv(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta=-\sigma'}^{-\sigma} A(\alpha - 2\beta)v(\beta)$. Let $v \in E$ and let f_n be a sequence of refinements f_n such that $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ $f_0(\alpha) = v(\alpha)$, then $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ $D^n f_n(\alpha) = T^n v(\alpha)$.

Proof: We set $v_n = T^n v$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We prove by induction that $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ $D^n f_n(\alpha) = v_n(\alpha)$. By hypothesis, this is the case for $n = 0$. Let us assume that for a given integer n , $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ $D^n f_n(\alpha) = v_n(\alpha)$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we obtain

$$D^{n+1} f_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta) D^n f_n(\beta).$$

If $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ and $\beta \notin [-\sigma', -\sigma]$, then $\alpha - 2\beta \notin [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ and $A(\alpha - 2\beta) = 0$. It follows that

$$D^{n+1} f_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta=-\sigma'}^{-\sigma} A(\alpha - 2\beta) D^n f_n(\beta) = Tv_n(\alpha) = v_{n+1}(\alpha)$$

whenever $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$. \square

Definition 3 A Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d is nondegenerate if for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, there exists an integer a_i and a sequence f_n of refinements such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(i)}(a_i) \neq 0$

Theorem 7 Let \mathcal{H} be a nondegenerate Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d with mask $\{A(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We also assume the following condition is satisfied: for every sequence of refinements $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,

$$f_n^{(i)}(\alpha + 1)/2^{in} - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{1}{(j-i)!} f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)/2^{jn} = o(1/2^{dn}) \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 0, 1, \dots, d. \quad (5)$$

Then for every $k = 0, \dots, d$, there is a unique polynomial $p_k(x)$ of degree k with its leading coefficient equal to $1/k!$ such that the vector function $v_k : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ where $v_k(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ is an eigenvector of $(A(\alpha - 2\beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for the eigenvalue

$1/2^k$. Moreover, if f_n is a sequence of refinements of \mathcal{H} , then there exist constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d such that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f_n^{(i)}(\alpha)/2^{in} = \sum_{k=0}^d c_k p_k^{(i)}(\alpha)/2^{kn} + o(1/2^{dn}), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d. \quad (6)$$

In particular, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) = c_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$.

Proof: For $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, let f_n be a sequence of refinements and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(i)}(a_i) = 1 \quad (7)$$

(\mathcal{H} is nondegenerate).

Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that the support of \mathcal{H} is contained in $[\sigma, \sigma']$ and $a_i \in [-\sigma, -\sigma']$. If E is the set of vectors $v = (v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(d)})^T$ where $v^{(i)} : [-\sigma', -\sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, we define the linear operator T in E by $Tv(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta=-\sigma'}^{-\sigma} A(\alpha-2\beta)v(\beta)$. Let us denote $m(z) = \prod_{k=1}^K (z - \lambda_k)^{\mu_k}$ the minimal polynomial of T where the λ_k are distinct, then we define E_k as the kernel of $(T - \lambda_k I)^{\mu_k}$. According to the primary decomposition of a vector space (see Theorem 4.2 in Lang [10]), E is the direct sum of E_k : $E = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K E_k$.

Let F be the set of vectors $w = (w^{(0)}, w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(d)})^T$ for which there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_d$ satisfying for $i = 0, \dots, d$, for all $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ $w^{(i)}(\alpha) = p^{(i)}(\alpha)$. If λ_k is an eigenvalue such that $|\lambda_k| \geq 1/2^d$, let us show that $E_k \subset F$.

For $v \in E_k$, we define the sequence: $v_0 = v$, $v_{\ell+1} = (T - \lambda_k I)v_\ell$, $\ell \geq 0$ and finally we set $\nu = \min\{\ell \geq 0 : v_{\ell+1} = 0\}$. Let $f_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be defined by $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$, $f_0(\alpha) = v(\alpha)$ (for any $\alpha \notin [-\sigma', -\sigma]$, the choice of $f_0(\alpha)$ may be arbitrary), we consider the sequence of refinements generated by f_0 . By Lemma 6, we obtain $D^n f_n(\alpha) = T^n v(\alpha)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$. Since $Tv_\ell = v_{\ell+1} + \lambda_k v_\ell$ and $v_\ell = 0$ for $\ell > \nu$, we can prove recursively that $T^n v = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{\ell} \lambda_k^{n-\ell} v_\ell$ with $\binom{n}{\ell} = 0$ if $n < \ell$. This can be written

$$f_n^{(i)}(\alpha)/2^{in} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{\ell} \lambda_k^{n-\ell} v_\ell^{(i)}(\alpha) \quad i = 0, \dots, d, \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma] \quad (8)$$

From (5) and (8), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu} \binom{n}{\ell} 2^{dn} \lambda_k^{n-\ell} [v_\ell^{(i)}(\alpha+1) - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{v_\ell^{(j)}(\alpha)}{(j-i)!}] = 0 \quad (9)$$

for any $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma-1]$, and $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$. From (9) and Lemma 5, since $2^d |\lambda_k| \geq 1$, we get $v^{(i)}(\alpha+1) - \sum_{j=i}^d \frac{v^{(j)}(\alpha)}{(j-i)!} = 0$. From Lemma 4, there exists a polynomial $p_k \in \mathcal{P}_d$

such that $v^{(0)}(\alpha) = p_k(\alpha)$, $v^{(1)}(\alpha) = p'_k(\alpha)$, ..., $v^{(d)}(\alpha) = p_k^{(d)}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$. Thus $E_k \subset F$. From this inclusion of sets, we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{|\lambda_k| \geq 1/2^d} \dim E_k \leq \dim F = d + 1. \quad (10)$$

Let $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, $a_i \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$ and let f_n be a sequence of refinements such that (7) is satisfied. We set $w(\alpha) = f_0(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma]$. The vector w has a unique decomposition in E : $w = \sum_{k=0}^K w_k$ with $w_k \in E_k$. For $k = 1, \dots, K$, we define the sequence: $w_{k0} = w_k$, $w_{k,\ell+1} = (T - \lambda_k I)w_{k\ell}$, $\ell \geq 0$ and finally we set $\nu_k = \min\{\ell \geq 0 : w_{k,\ell+1} = 0\}$. Then $T^n w = \sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu_k} \binom{n}{\ell} w_{k\ell} \lambda_k^{n-\ell}$. More precisely, we get

$$f_n^{(i)}(\alpha)/2^{in} = \sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{\ell=0}^{\nu_k} \binom{n}{\ell} \lambda_k^{n-\ell} w_{k\ell}^{(i)}(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in [-\sigma', -\sigma], i = 0, 1, \dots, d. \quad (11)$$

From (7), (11) and from Lemma 5, we deduce that $1/2^i$ is an eigenvalue of T .

Since this is true for $i = 0, \dots, d$, from (10), we obtain that $\dim E_k = 1$ if $\lambda_k = 1/2^i$, this means that $\nu_k = 0$. The eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue λ_k is the vector $v_k = (p_k, p'_k, \dots, p_k^{(d)})^T$. Any eigenvalue not in $\{1/2^i : i = 0, 1, \dots, d\}$ is in the disk $|\lambda| < 1/2^d$. If we reorder the eigenvalues in such a way that $\lambda_k = 1/2^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$, then Equation (11) becomes Equation (6) where c_k is the number defined by $w_k = c_k v_k$.

Let us show that the degree of p_k is k for $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$. In (6) let us use the sequence of refinements f_n for which (7) is satisfied. From Lemma 5, we get $c_i p_i^{(i)}(a_i) \neq 0$ and $c_j p_j^{(i)}(a_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ and $j < i$. We obtain that $c_i \neq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, $p_i^{(i)}(a_i) \neq 0$ and $p_j^{(i)}(a_i) = 0$ for $j < i$. The degree of p_k is $\max\{\ell : p_k^{(\ell)}(a_i) \neq 0\} = k$ since we know that $p_k^{(\ell)}(a_i) = 0$ for $k < \ell$.

Since the degree of p_k is k , then for $i, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, the limit of $p_k^{(i)}(\alpha) 2^{(i-k)n}$ is δ_{ik} and the limit of $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha)$ is c_i as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As σ and σ' may be chosen arbitrarily large in absolute values and that the polynomials p_k are unique up to a multiplicative factor, the proof is complete. \square

Remark 1 *If the Taylor condition is satisfied by a sequence of vector function $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, then its weak form (5) is valid.*

We put the main statement of the previous theorem in the form of a definition.

Definition 4 *A Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d satisfies the spectral condition if for $k = 0, \dots, d$, there is a polynomial p_k of degree k with its leading coefficient*

equal to $1/k!$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta) v_k(\beta) = v_k(\alpha) / 2^k \quad (12)$$

where $v_k(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), p'_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$.

Definition 5 A C^d function ϕ is reproduced by a C^d Hermite subdivision scheme if it is the limit function associated with a sequence of refinements f_n of this scheme.

Theorem 8 We consider a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d which satisfies the spectral condition, then any polynomial of degree less than or equal to d is reproduced by the scheme.

Proof: For $k \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, let $p_k(x)$ be the polynomial defined in Theorem 7 which provides the eigenvector v_k appearing in (12). We set $f_0(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), p'_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$, then by (1) and (12), we get by induction that $D^n f_n = f_0 / 2^{kn}$ and $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) / 2^{in} = p_k^{(i)}(\alpha) / 2^{kn}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let $\sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell x^\ell / \ell!$ be the Taylor expansion of p_k , we will show that the limit function associated with the refinements f_n is $\phi(x) = c_k x^k / k!$. We distinguish 3 cases.

Case $i > k$: $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) = p_k^{(i)}(\alpha) 2^{(i-k)n} = 0$, $\phi^{(i)}(\alpha / 2^n) = 0$ so that $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(i)}(\alpha / 2^n) = 0$,

Case $i = k$: $f_n^{(k)}(\alpha) = p_k^{(k)}(\alpha) = c_k$, $\phi^{(k)}(\alpha / 2^n) = c_k$ and again, $f_n^{(k)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(k)}(\alpha / 2^n) = 0$,

Case $i < k$: then $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) = 2^{(i-k)n} p_k^{(i)}(\alpha) = 2^{(i-k)n} \sum_{\ell=i}^k c_\ell \alpha^{\ell-i} / (\ell-i)!$, $\phi^{(i)}(\alpha / 2^n) = 2^{(i-k)n} c_k \alpha^{k-i} / (k-i)!$ and $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(i)}(\alpha / 2^n) = 2^{(i-k)n} \sum_{\ell=i}^{k-1} c_\ell \alpha^{\ell-i} / (\ell-i)!$. Finally $f_n^{(i)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(i)}(\alpha / 2^n) = O(1/2^n)$ uniformly for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

According to Definition 1, ϕ is the limit function associated with the refinements f_n . The polynomial x^k is reproduced and by linearity, any polynomial of degree less or equal to d is reproduced by the scheme. \square

Corollary 9 A Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d which satisfies the spectral condition is nondegenerate.

The function x^d is the associated limit function of refinements f_n . In Definition 3, take these refinements and $a = 1$.

4 Associated subdivision scheme

Given a Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} satisfying the spectral condition, we will associate a vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} , the so-called Taylor subdivision scheme. We begin by recalling what a vector subdivision scheme is and when it is affine.

Definition 6 A vector subdivision matrix of order p is a matrix function $S : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ for which there exists an interval $[\sigma, \sigma']$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma \leq \sigma'$ such that $S(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ whenever $\alpha - 2\beta \notin [\sigma, \sigma']$. If $g_0 : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the recursive formula

$$g_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} S(\alpha, \beta) g_n(\beta)$$

generates the refinements of g_0 and defines a vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} of order p . The interval $[\sigma, \sigma']$ is a support of \mathcal{S} . If there exists a matrix function $B : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that $S(\alpha, \beta) = B(\alpha - 2\beta)$, then B is the mask of \mathcal{S} .

Definition 7 A vector subdivision matrix S (and its corresponding vector subdivision scheme) is affine if $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^p s_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where $s_{ij}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the entries of $S(\alpha, \beta)$. The subdivision scheme is scalar if $p = 1$.

For $f = (f^{(0)}, \dots, f^{(d)})^T$ where $f^{(i)} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, \dots, d$, we define the operator $Pf = g = (g^{(0)}, \dots, g^{(d)})^T$ where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g^{(0)}(\alpha) &= f^{(d)}(\alpha) \\ g^{(i)}(\alpha) &= i! \left(f^{(d-i)}(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{f^{(d-j)}(\alpha)}{(i-j)!} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, d \end{aligned} \right\}$$

If P_0, P_1 are the respective square matrices of order $d+1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{(d-2)!}{0!} & \cdots & -\frac{(d-2)!}{(d-3)!} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{(d-1)!}{0!} & -\frac{(d-1)!}{1!} & \cdots & -\frac{(d-1)!}{(d-2)!} & 0 \\ -\frac{d!}{0!} & -\frac{d!}{1!} & -\frac{d!}{2!} & \cdots & -\frac{d!}{(d-1)!} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1! & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & (d-2)! & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (d-1)! & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ d! & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

then

$$Pf(\alpha) = P_0 f(\alpha) + P_1 f(\alpha + 1). \quad (14)$$

Theorem 10 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d which satisfies the spectral condition and whose mask $A(\alpha) = (a_{ij}(\alpha))_{i,j=0,\dots,d}$ has support included in $[\sigma, \sigma']$. Let $f_n = (f_n^{(0)}, f_n^{(1)}, \dots, f_n^{(d)})^T$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be the refinements of a \mathcal{H} , we set $g_n = 2^{nd}PD^n f_n$ and $C(\alpha) = 2^d(P_0A(\alpha) + P_1A(\alpha+1))$ where P, P_0, P_1 are defined in (13-14). If we define the matrix function $B(\alpha) = (b_{ij}(\alpha))_{i,j=0,\dots,d}$ by*

$$b_{i,d-j}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{(d-j)!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^j c_{i,k}(\alpha - 2\beta) \frac{(\beta-1)^{j-k}}{(j-k)!}, \quad j = 0, \dots, d-1 \quad (15)$$

$$b_{i,0}(\alpha) = c_{id}(\alpha) \quad (16)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, d$, then the support of B is contained in $[\sigma - 1, \sigma']$ and the sequence g_n is the sequence of refinements of an affine vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} whose mask is B .

Proof: Let us find a condition that a matrix function B should satisfy in order that the functions $g_n = 2^{nd}PD^n f_n$ are the refinements of a vector subdivision scheme of mask B .

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1}(\alpha) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} B(\alpha - 2\beta) g_n(\beta) = 2^{nd} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} B(\alpha - 2\beta) PD^n f_n(\beta) \\ g_{n+1}(\alpha) &= 2^{(n+1)d} PD^{n+1} f_{n+1}(\alpha) = 2^{(n+1)d} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} PA(\alpha - 2\beta) D^n f_n(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} B(\alpha - 2\beta) PD^n f_n(\beta) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} B(\alpha - 2\beta) [P_0 D^n f_n(\beta) + P_1 D^n f_n(\beta + 1)] \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} [B(\alpha - 2\beta) P_0 + B(\alpha + 2 - 2\beta) P_1] D^n f_n(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

such a condition is

$$B(\alpha)P_0 + B(\alpha + 2)P_1 = C(\alpha) = 2^d(P_0A(\alpha) + P_1A(\alpha + 1)).$$

Let us remark that the support of C is included in $[\sigma - 1, \sigma']$.

When comparing the j th column of $B(\alpha)P_0 + B(\alpha + 2)P_1$ with that of C and using the definition of P_0 and P_1 , we get the following equations:

$$b_{i,d-j}(\alpha + 2) = \frac{c_{i,j}(\alpha)}{(d-j)!} + \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{d-k}{d-j} b_{i,d-k}(\alpha), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1, \quad (17)$$

$$b_{i,0}(\alpha) = c_{i,d}(\alpha). \quad (18)$$

Both Equations (16) and (18) are the same. In order to solve (17), we note that $C(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha < \sigma - 1$ and we set $B(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha < \sigma - 1$. Obviously, the functions $b_{i,d-j}(\alpha + 2)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1$ can be computed recursively for $\alpha = \sigma - 1, \sigma, \sigma + 1, \dots$. Let us check that (15) is a solution of (17).

We define the matrix function B by (15-16). Matrix B is well defined and has the property that $B(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha < \sigma - 1$ since $C(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha < \sigma - 1$. Let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then, as the support of C is bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} (d-j)! \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{d-k}{d-j} b_{i,d-k}(\alpha) &= \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{c_{i,\ell}(\alpha - 2\beta)(\beta - 1)^{k-\ell}}{(j-k)!(k-\ell)!} \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^j \sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} \frac{c_{i,\ell}(\alpha - 2\beta)(\beta - 1)^k}{(j-\ell-k)!k!} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^j \frac{c_{i,\ell}(\alpha - 2\beta)\beta^{j-\ell}}{(j-\ell)!} \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} \frac{(j-\ell)!}{(j-\ell-k)!k!} (\beta - 1)^k = (\beta - 1 + 1)^{j-\ell} = \beta^{j-\ell}$ (from binomial theorem).

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,j}(\alpha) + (d-j)! \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{d-k}{d-j} b_{i,d-k}(\alpha) &= \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^j \frac{c_{i,\ell}(\alpha - 2\beta)\beta^{j-\ell}}{(j-\ell)!} \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^j \frac{c_{i,\ell}(\alpha + 2 - 2\beta)(\beta - 1)^{j-\ell}}{(j-\ell)!} = (d-j)! b_{i,d-j}(\alpha + 2). \end{aligned}$$

(17) is satisfied and the sequence g_n is the sequence of refinements of the vector subdivision scheme whose mask is B .

Before studying the support of B , let us show that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(\beta - 1)^{j-k}}{(j-k)!} c_{i,k}(\alpha - 2\beta) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, d - 1. \quad (19)$$

We use the basis of \mathcal{P}_{d-1} composed with the polynomials p_k of degree k , $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$ such that the vector function $v_k(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ is an eigenvector of the supermatrix $H = (A(\alpha - 2\beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for the eigenvalue $1/2^k$. We have

$$P_0 \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta) v_k(\beta) + P_1 \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha + 1 - 2\beta) v_k(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^k} (P_0 v_k(\alpha) + P_1 v_k(\alpha + 1)).$$

From Lemma 3, we obtain $P_0 v_k(\alpha) + P_1 v_k(\alpha + 1) = 0$ and $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} C(\alpha - 2\beta) v_k(\beta) = 0$.

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$, the vector function $w_j(\alpha) = (q(\alpha), q'(\alpha), \dots, q^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where $q(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^j$ is a linear combination of $\{v_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots,d-1}$ and $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} C(\alpha - 2\beta)w_j(\beta) = 0$, i.e. (19) is satisfied.

Let us study the support of B . Since the support of C is included in $[\sigma - 1, \sigma']$, from (15)-(16), we deduce that the support of B is included in $[\sigma - 1, +\infty)$. Let $\alpha > \sigma'$, then $c_{ij}(\alpha - 2\beta) = 0$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, d$ and for $\beta < 1$. From that and from (15)-(19) we obtain $b_{i,d-j}(\alpha) = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ and for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Moreover, from (16), we obviously get $b_{i,0}(\alpha) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. The support of B is contained in $[\sigma - 1, \sigma']$.

To prove that the vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} whose mask is B is affine, we use the eigenvalue $1/2^d$ of H and the associated eigenvector built from the vector function $v_d(\alpha) = (p_d(\alpha), \dots, p_d^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where p_d is a polynomial of degree d which can be chosen such that its leading coefficient is $1/d!$.

If we define $f_0 = v_d$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$Df_1(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta)f_0(\beta) = f_0(\alpha)/2^d.$$

We get $g_1(\alpha) = 2^d P D f_1(\alpha) = P f_0(\alpha) = g_0(\alpha)$. From Lemma 3, we deduce $g_0(\alpha) = P v_d(\alpha) = (1, \dots, 1)^T$. Since $g_1(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} B(\alpha - 2\beta)g_0(\beta) = g_0(\alpha)$, we obtain the last result of the Theorem. \square

Definition 8 *The vector subdivision scheme with mask B is called the Taylor subdivision scheme associated with \mathcal{H} .*

5 Criterion of convergence for a Hermite scheme

We will propose a sufficient condition for C^d convergence of a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d . This criterion is that the subdivision scheme of the finite differences arising from the Taylor subdivision scheme is contractive. Before reaching the main theorem, we need a lemma.

Lemma 11 *Let $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions. We assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(0) = c$ and that there exists a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $L > 0$, $2^n \Delta f_n(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$ uniformly for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for every $L > 0$, $f_n(\alpha) - c - \int_0^{\alpha/2^n} \psi(t) dt = o(1)$ uniformly for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $L > 0$, there exists an integer $N_1 > 0$ such that

$$(\forall n > N_1) (\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-L2^n, L2^n]) \quad |2^n \Delta f_n(\beta) - \psi(\beta/2^n)| < \varepsilon. \quad (20)$$

Now since ψ is uniformly continuous on $[-L, L]$, there exists $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that $(\forall n > N_2) (\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-L2^n, L2^n - 1])$,

$$\left| \psi(\beta/2^n)/2^n - \int_{\beta/2^n}^{(\beta+1)/2^n} \psi(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon/2^n \quad (21)$$

For $n > N_2$, let $\alpha \in [0, L2^n] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. As $f_n(\alpha) = f_n(0) + \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \Delta f_n(\beta)$, with (20), we obtain

$$\left| f_n(\alpha) - c - \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \psi(\beta/2^n)/2^n \right| \leq |f_n(0) - c| + L\varepsilon.$$

From (21), we get

$$\left| \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \psi(\beta/2^n)/2^n - \int_0^{\alpha/2^n} \psi(t) dt \right| \leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \left| \psi(\beta/2^n)/2^n - \int_{\beta/2^n}^{(\beta+1)/2^n} \psi(t) dt \right| < L\varepsilon.$$

It follows from both previous inequalities that

$$\left| f_n(\alpha) - c - \int_0^{\alpha/2^n} \psi(t) dt \right| \leq |f_n(0) - c| + 2L\varepsilon.$$

We have a similar result for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, 0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Let $N > N_2$ be such that $|f_n(0) - c| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n > N$. We have proved $(\forall n > N) (\forall \alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n] \cap \mathbb{Z})$

$$|f_n(\alpha) - c - \int_0^{\alpha/2^n} \psi(t) dt| \leq (2L + 1)\varepsilon$$

and the conclusion can easily be deduced. \square

Definition 9 We say that a vector subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} of order p is C^0 if for every sequence of refinements $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ of \mathcal{S} , there is a continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $L > 0$, there exists N such that $\|f_n(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha/2^n)\| < \epsilon$ for every $n > N$ and every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. The function ϕ is called the limit function associated with the refinements f_n . If for any limit function, all its components are the same, we say that \mathcal{S} is C^0 with equal components.

Theorem 12 Let \mathcal{H} be a Hermite subdivision scheme of order d which satisfies the spectral condition. Let $B(\alpha) = (b_{ij}(\alpha))_{i,j=0,1,\dots,d}$ be the mask of the Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} associated with \mathcal{H} . We define the functions $s, t : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$s((d+1)\alpha + i, (d+1)\beta + j) = b_{ij}(\alpha - 2\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, d, \quad (22)$$

$$t(\alpha, \beta) = - \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\beta} [s(\alpha+1, \gamma) - s(\alpha, \gamma)] = \sum_{\gamma=\beta+1}^{\infty} [s(\alpha+1, \gamma) - s(\alpha, \gamma)]. \quad (23)$$

If, moreover, there is an integer n such that

$$\max\left\{\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} |t_n(\alpha, \beta)| : \alpha \in [0, (d+1)2^n - 1]\right\} < 1 \quad (24)$$

where $(t_n(\alpha, \beta))$ is the n -th power of the subdivision matrix $T = (t(\alpha, \beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then the Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} is C^0 with equal components and the Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} is C^d .

Proof: In the first part, we show that the Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} is C^0 . Let $g_n = (g_n^{(0)}, g_n^{(1)}, \dots, g_n^{(d)})^T$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be the refinements of \mathcal{S} , we define the sequence $h_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $h_n((d+1)\alpha + i) = g_n^{(i)}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$. There is no essential difference between g_n and h_n . h_n is the sequence of refinements of a non-uniform binary subdivision scheme whose subdivision matrix $S = (s(\alpha, \beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given by (22). The refinement rule is $h_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta} s(\alpha, \beta) h_n(\beta)$. We still call this scheme \mathcal{S} . From Theorem 10, the subdivision matrix is affine: for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{\beta} s(\alpha, \beta) = 1$.

Since S is affine, we can define the subdivision matrix $T = (t(\alpha, \beta))$ with (23) (see Equations (3.7) and (3.8) of [1] or Proposition 10 of [3]). As it is shown in [3], the sequence of the finite differences $\Delta h_n = h_n(\alpha+1) - h_n(\alpha)$ is the sequence of refinements of the subdivision scheme whose subdivision matrix is T .

The subdivision matrix T (like S) is periodic of period $d+1$, i.e. $t(\alpha+2d+2, \beta+d+1) = t(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $t_n(\alpha, \beta)$ the entries of the matrix T^n , then T^n is periodic with the following meaning

$$t_n(\alpha + (d+1)2^n, \beta + d+1) = t_n(\alpha, \beta). \quad (25)$$

We verify this periodicity recursively through the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n+1}(\alpha + (d+1)2^{n+1}, \beta + d+1) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} t(\alpha + (d+1)2^{n+1}, \gamma) t_n(\gamma, \beta + d+1) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} t(\alpha, \gamma - (d+1)2^n) t_n(\gamma, \beta + d+1) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} t(\alpha, \gamma) t_n(\gamma + (d+1)2^n, \beta + d+1) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} t(\alpha, \gamma) t_n(\gamma, \beta) = t_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

From (24) and from (25), we obtain that the matrix T^n is contractive:

$$\|T^n\|_\infty = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} |t_n(\alpha, \beta)| < 1.$$

This inequality is a criterion for the C^0 convergence of \mathcal{S} (see Theorem 3.3 of [1], Theorem 2.4 of [11], Theorem 3.2 of [12] or Theorem 4 of [6]). The subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} is C^0 .

Let θ be the limit function of the refinements h_n and let $L > 0$, then $h_n(\alpha) - \theta(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$ uniformly for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. We set $\psi(x) = \theta((d+1)x)$ and we get that for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, $g_n^{(i)}(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n)$ is the sum of the two terms $h_n((d+1)\alpha + i) - \theta(((d+1)\alpha + i)/2^n)$ and $\theta(((d+1)\alpha + i)/2^n) - \theta((d+1)\alpha/2^n)$. Let $L > 0$, then $g_n(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$ uniformly for $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. The limit of the sequence of refinement g_n is the vector function $(\psi(x), \psi(x), \dots, \psi(x))^T$ with $d+1$ equal components. The Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} associated with \mathcal{H} is C^0 with equal components.

In this second part of the proof, we prove that the Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} is C^d . Let $f_n = (f_n^{(0)}, f_n^{(1)}, \dots, f_n^{(d)})^T$ be a sequence of refinements of \mathcal{H} and let $g_n = (g_n^{(0)}, g_n^{(1)}, \dots, g_n^{(d)})^T = 2^{dn} P D^n f_n$ be the corresponding sequence of refinements of \mathcal{S} , we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g_n^{(d-i)}(\alpha) &= 2^{dn}(d-i)! \left(f_n^{(i)}(\alpha+1)/2^{in} - \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} \frac{f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)/2^{jn}}{(j-i)!} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1 \\ g_n^{(0)}(\alpha) &= f_n^{(d)}(\alpha). \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Since \mathcal{S} is C^0 with equal components, there exists a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $L > 0$, $g_n^{(i)}(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

$$f_n^{(d)}(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n) = o(1), \quad (26)$$

and for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$,

$$f_n^{(i)}(\alpha+1)/2^{in} - \sum_{j=i}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(j-i)!} f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)/2^{jn} - \psi(\alpha/2^n)/(d-i)! = o(1/2^{dn}) \quad (27)$$

uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Theorem 7, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, the sequence $f_n^{(i)}(0)$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we set $c_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(i)}(0)$. Then we define

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_k x^k + \frac{1}{(d-1)!} \int_0^x (x-t)^{d-1} \psi(t) dt.$$

Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, we consider Property (P_k) : for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $L > 0$, there exists N such that $|f_n^{(k)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(k)}(\alpha/2^n)| < \epsilon$ for every $n > N$ and for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. We proceed with a backward finite recursion, first showing (P_d) , then (P_{d-1}) , ..., down to (P_0) .

(P_d) is (26) since $\psi(x) = \phi^{(d)}(x)$.

For Property (P_{d-1}) , we use (27) with $i = d - 1$ and we obtain that the sequence $2^n \Delta f_n^{(d-1)}(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$. Since the sequence $f_n^{(d-1)}(0)$ converges, using Lemma 11, we conclude that the sequence

$$f_n^{(d-1)}(\alpha) - c_{d-1} - \int_0^{\alpha/2^n} \psi(t) dt = f_n^{(d-1)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(d-1)}(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$$

uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us assume $(P_d), \dots, (P_{k+1})$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$. Again, we use (27) with $i = k$ and we obtain that the sequence

$$\Delta f_n^{(k)}(\alpha)/2^{kn} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{d-1} \frac{f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)/2^{nj}}{(j-k)!} - \frac{\psi(\alpha/2^n)/2^{dn}}{(d-k)!} = o(1/2^{dn})$$

uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$. We multiply the previous sequence by $2^{(k+1)n}$ and we know from the recursion hypotheses that the sequences $f_n^{(j)}(\alpha)$ are uniformly bounded for $j > k + 1$ and $f_n^{(k+1)}(\alpha) - \phi_{k+1}(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$ uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We deduce that the sequence $2^n \Delta f_n^{(k)}(\alpha) - \phi_k(\alpha/2^n)$ is $o(1)$. Since the sequence $f_n^{(d-1)}(0)$ converges, using Lemma 11 again, we conclude that $f_n^{(k)}(\alpha) - \phi^{(k)}(\alpha/2^n) = o(1)$ uniformly for every $\alpha \in [-L2^n, L2^n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is Property (P_k) .

Then (P_k) is true for $k = 0, \dots, d$, i.e. \mathcal{H} is C^d . \square

6 Examples of Hermite subdivision schemes

For every positive integer d , we consider two specific Hermite subdivision schemes, the first one is interpolatory and is obtained by solving a Hermite problem, the second one is a kind of corner cutting. For each of these schemes, we consider the corresponding Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} and the subdivision scheme of finite differences \mathcal{T} with their subdivision matrices S, T .

Before the definitions, we recall the Obreshkov interpolation polynomial [15]. Let $d, e \geq 0$ and let $y = (y^{(0)}, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(d)})$ and $z = (z^{(0)}, z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(e)})$ be two vectors, one from \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , the other from \mathbb{R}^{e+1} , the polynomial p of degree $2d + 1$ that satisfies

$$p^{(i)}(a) = y^{(i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, d; \quad p^{(i)}(b) = z^{(i)}, i = 0, 1, \dots, e$$

is the Obreshkov interpolation polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d y^{(j)} \frac{(x-b)^{e+1}}{j!(a-b)^{e+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{d-j} \binom{e+k}{k} \frac{(x-a)^{j+k}}{(b-a)^k} \\ + \sum_{j=0}^e z^{(j)} \frac{(x-a)^{d+1}}{j!(b-a)^{d+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{e-j} \binom{d+k}{k} \frac{(x-b)^{j+k}}{(a-b)^k}.$$

This formula is also given in the contribution of Sendov and Andrew [16], p.403. We limit ourselves to the case $e = d$. We define

$$u_j(t) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{k=0}^{d-j} \binom{d+k}{k} t^{j+k} (1-t)^{d+1}, \quad v_j(t) = (-1)^j u_j(1-t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

By substitution and differentiation, with $t = (x-a)/(b-a)$, we obtain

$$p^{(i)}(a + (b-a)t)(b-a)^i = \sum_{j=0}^d [y^{(j)} u_j^{(i)}(t) + z^{(j)} v_j^{(i)}(t)] (b-a)^j, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

If we define the two matrices $U(t) = (u_j^{(i)}(t))_{i,j=0,1,\dots,d}$ and $V(t) = (v_j^{(i)}(t))_{i,j=0,1,\dots,d}$, the matrix form of the previous equation is

$$D(b-a)f(a + (b-a)t) = U(t)D(b-a)y + V(t)D(b-a)z \quad (28)$$

where $f = (p, p', \dots, p^{(d)})^T$ and $D(h)$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is $1, h, \dots, h^d$, (here $h = b-a$). We also remark that

$$V(t) = SU(1-t)S \quad (29)$$

where S is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is $1, -1, \dots, (-1)^d$.

6.1 Hermite interpolation scheme: *HIS*

Let $d > 0$ and let $w : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a family of Hermite data. Let us consider the unique piecewise polynomial $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that on every interval $[\alpha, \alpha+1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, ϕ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2d+1$ and

$$\phi(\alpha) = w^{(0)}(\alpha), \quad \phi'(\alpha) = w^{(1)}(\alpha), \quad \dots, \quad \phi^{(d)}(\alpha) = w^{(d)}(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (30)$$

Figure 1 is about the degree $d = 2$. Three piecewise polynomials ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are considered for three Hermite problems:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \begin{cases} \phi_0(\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha 0}, & \phi_0'(\alpha) = 0, & \phi_0''(\alpha) = 0, & \text{(first problem),} \\ \phi_1(\alpha) = 0, & \phi_1'(\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha 0}, & \phi_1''(\alpha) = 0, & \text{(second problem),} \\ \phi_2(\alpha) = 0, & \phi_2'(\alpha) = 0, & \phi_2''(\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha 0}, & \text{(third problem).} \end{cases}$$




Figure 1: Basic Hermite interpolation functions and their derivatives of order 1 and 2 in the Hermite interpolation scheme of degree 2

Figure 1 provides the graphs of these functions with their two first derivatives.

Let us come back to the general Hermite problem (30). By sampling ϕ and its derivatives, we define a sequence of functions $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,

$$f_n(\alpha) = (\phi(\alpha/2^n), \phi'(\alpha/2^n), \dots, \phi^{(d)}(\alpha/2^n))^T. \quad (31)$$

In (28), we set $a = \alpha/2^n$, $b = (\alpha + 1)/2^n$, $y = f_n(\alpha)$, $z = f_n(\alpha + 1)$ and we obtain two equations by choosing $t = 0$ then $t = 1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} D^n f_{n+1}(2\alpha) &= D^n f_n(\alpha), \\ D^n f_{n+1}(2\alpha + 1) &= U(1/2) D^n f_n(\alpha) + V(1/2) D^n f_n(\alpha + 1). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply each left side of these equations by D , we get a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d . The nonzero matrices of its mask are $A(0) = D$, $A(1) = DU(1/2)$,

$A(-1) = DV(1/2)$ and we obtain

$$D^{n+1}f_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta} A(\alpha - 2\beta) D^n f_n(\beta).$$

We call it the Hermite polynomial interpolation scheme of degree d , HIS^d . It is an interpolatory scheme. Obviously the limit function of the refinements f_n is ϕ .

For $d = 1, 2, 3$, the respective values of $A(1) = DU(1/2)$ are

$$\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ -6 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 10 & 1 \\ -60 & -14 & -1 \\ 0 & -24 & -4 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{768} \begin{pmatrix} 384 & 132 & 18 & 1 \\ -840 & -228 & -24 & -1 \\ 0 & -360 & -84 & -6 \\ 2520 & 5040 & 360 & 18 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ij -entry of $A(-1) = DV(1/2)$ is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the corresponding entry of $A(1)$ as it can be seen by (29).

As already said, the scheme HIS^d is C^d , but this is also implied by Theorem 12 as we will see. Before this, we verify that the spectral condition is satisfied.

Lemma 13 *Let $\{A(\alpha)\}$ be the mask of HIS^d with $d > 0$, then for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1$, the vector function $v_k(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), p'_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where $p_k(x) = x^k$ is an eigenvector of the supermatrix $H = (A(\alpha - 2\beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the eigenvalue $1/2^k$.*

Proof: Let p be a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $2d + 1$. If we sample p and its derivatives on $2d + 1$ data, then the Hermite interpolating polynomial is p again by unicity. We set $v(\alpha) = (p(\alpha), p'(\alpha), \dots, p^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$, v is a vector function from \mathbb{Z} to $\mathbb{R}^{(d+1)}$. Let $V = (v(\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$. If we define $W = (w(\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} = HV$ then

$$w(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} A(\alpha - 2\beta) v(\beta).$$

From (31), we deduce that $w(\alpha) = (q(\alpha), q'(\alpha), \dots, q^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where $q(x) = p(x/2)$. For $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1\}$, if $p(x) = x^k$ then $q = x^k/2^k$ and the corresponding vector $V_k = (v_k(\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an eigenvector of H with the eigenvalue $1/2^k$. \square

From Lemma 13 and Theorem 10, we can associate to HIS^d the corresponding Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S}_d . The mask of the subdivision scheme \mathcal{S}_d is B and can be computed by Theorem 10. From B , we get the subdivision matrix S . Since \mathcal{S}_d is affine, we define the subdivision scheme $\Delta\mathcal{S}_d$ of the finite differences whose subdivision matrix is T . From Theorem 12, a criterion for the C^d convergence of $HPPd$ is that there exists an integer n for which $\|T^n\|_{\infty} < 1$. We apply this criterion for the first schemes HIS^1 , HIS^2 and HIS^3 .

For the scheme HIS^1 , the subdivision matrices $S = (s(\alpha, \beta))$ and $T = (t(\alpha, \beta))$ are both periodic $s(\alpha + 4, \beta + 2) = s(\alpha, \beta)$ and $t(\alpha + 4, \beta + 2) = t(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The respective matrices $(s(\alpha, \beta))$ and $(t(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\beta = 0, 1, 2$ are

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 6 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $s(\alpha, \beta)$, $t(\alpha, \beta)$ are 0 if $\alpha = [0, 3]$ and $\beta \notin [0, 2]$. With this information, S and T are entirely known. After computations, we obtain $\|T\|_\infty = 1$ and $\|T^2\|_\infty = 5/8$. Since $\|T^2\|_\infty < 1$, HIS^1 is C^1 .

For the scheme HIS^2 , the subdivision matrices S and T are both periodic $s(\alpha + 6, \beta + 3) = s(\alpha, \beta)$ and $t(\alpha + 6, \beta + 3) = t(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The respective matrices $(s(\alpha, \beta))$ and $(t(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha \in [0, 5]$ and $\beta \in [0, 4]$ are

$$\frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -14 & 30 & 1 \\ 2 & -20 & 32 & 2 \\ -4 & 24 & 0 & -4 \\ 1 & 46 & -30 & -1 \\ 0 & 48 & -28 & -4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 31 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & -38 & -6 & 0 \\ -5 & -27 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 48 & 20 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $s(\alpha, \beta)$, $t(\alpha, \beta)$ are zero if $\alpha = [0, 5]$ and $\beta \notin [0, 4]$. The five first norms $\|T^n\|_\infty$, $n = 1, \dots, 5$, are 4.25, 4.75, 3.2422, 1.8643, 0.9962. Since $\|T^5\|_\infty < 1$, the Hermite scheme HIS^2 is C^2 .

In a similar way, it is possible to verify that the Hermite scheme HIS^3 is C^3 by checking that $\|T^7\|_\infty = 0.7486 < 1$ for the corresponding subdivision matrix T .

6.2 Hermite corner cutting: HCC^d

For every integer $d \geq 0$, we define another Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d . Let us denote $f_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ a given family of control vectors. For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we will define recursively f_{n+1} from f_n by considering the unique piecewise polynomial $\phi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi_n(\alpha/2^n) = f_n^{(0)}(\alpha), \quad \phi_n'(\alpha/2^n) = f_n^{(1)}(\alpha), \quad \dots, \quad \phi_n^{(d)}(\alpha/2^n) = f_n^{(d)}(\alpha)$$

and ϕ_n is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2d + 1$ in $[\alpha/2^n, (\alpha + 1)/2^n]$. The refined family of control vectors is set according to the rule

$$f_{n+1}^{(i)}(\alpha) = \phi_n^{(i)}(\alpha/2^{n+1} + 1/2^{n+2}), \quad i = 0, \dots, d, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (32)$$




Figure 2: Hermite corner cutting of degree one

In Figure 2, we illustrate the refinement f_{n+1} of f_n according to (32) with $d = 1$. Small black squares are related to f_n and dots, to f_{n+1} .

In (28), we set $a = \alpha/2^n$, $b = (\alpha + 1)/2^n$, $y = f_n(\alpha)$, $z = f_n(\alpha + 1)$ and we obtain two equations by choosing $t = 1/4$ and $t = 3/4$:

$$\begin{aligned} D^n f_{n+1}(2\alpha) &= U(1/4)D^n f_n(\alpha) + V(1/4)D^n f_n(\alpha + 1), \\ D^n f_{n+1}(2\alpha + 1) &= U(3/4)D^n f_n(\alpha) + V(3/4)D^n f_n(\alpha + 1). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply each left side of these equations by D , we get a Hermite subdivision scheme of degree d . The nonzero matrices of its mask are $A(0) = DU(1/4)$, $A(-2) = DV(1/4)$, $A(1) = DU(3/4)$, $A(-1) = DV(3/4)$ and we obtain

$$D^{n+1}f_{n+1}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta} A(\alpha - 2\beta)D^n f_n(\beta).$$

We call it the Hermite corner cutting scheme of degree d , HCC^d .

If $d = 0$, the previous formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}(2\alpha) &= 3/4 f_n(\alpha) + 1/4 f_n(\alpha + 1) \\ f_{n+1}(2\alpha + 1) &= 1/4 f_n(\alpha) + 3/4 f_n(\alpha + 1) \end{aligned}$$

In this case this scheme is precisely Chaikin's algorithm [2], which belongs to a class of algorithms described by G. de Rham [4]. The name of corner cutting has been given to the class of de Rham's algorithms.

Let us look at the mask of HCC^1 . The matrices $A(0) = DU(1/4)$, $A(1) = DU(3/4)$ respectively are

$$\frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 54 & 9 \\ -36 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 3 \\ -36 & -10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices $A(-2) = DV(1/4)$ and $A(-1) = DV(3/4)$ can be computed from (29). The ij -entry of $A(-1)$ is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the corresponding entry of $A(1)$, the ij -entry of $A(-2)$ is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the corresponding entry of $A(0)$.

In the case of HCC^2 , the respective matrices $A(0) = DU(1/4)$ and $A(1) = DU(3/4)$ of its mask are

$$\frac{1}{2048} \begin{pmatrix} 1836 & 378 & 27 \\ -1080 & 324 & 54 \\ -2880 & -2016 & -144 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{2048} \begin{pmatrix} 212 & 78 & 9 \\ -1080 & -380 & -42 \\ 3880 & 864 & 80 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A computation by symmetry provides $A(-1)$ and $A(-2)$.

The fact that the scheme HCC^d satisfies the spectral condition comes from the following lemma.

Lemma 14 *Let $\{A(\alpha)\}$ be the mask of the Hermite corner cutting of degree $d > 0$, then for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1$, the vector function $v_k(\alpha) = (p_k(\alpha), p'_k(\alpha), \dots, p_k^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where $p_k(x) = (x - 1/2)^k$ is an eigenvector of the supermatrix $H = (A(\alpha - 2\beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the eigenvalue $1/2^k$.*

Proof: We use a similar proof to the one of Lemma 13. With the same notations, from $v(\alpha) = (p(\alpha), p'(\alpha), \dots, p^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$, with (32), we obtain that $w(\alpha) = (q(\alpha), q'(\alpha), \dots, q^{(d)}(\alpha))^T$ where $q(x) = p(x/2 + 1/4)$. For $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1\}$, if $p = (x - 1/2)^k$, then $q = (x - 1/2)^k/2^k$ and V_k is an eigenvector of H with the eigenvalue $1/2^k$. \square

From Lemma 13 and Theorem 10, we can associate with HCC^d the corresponding Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S}_d . We consider the subdivision scheme $\Delta\mathcal{S}_d$ of the finite differences whose subdivision matrix is T . From Theorem 12, HCC^d is C^d if there exists an integer n for which $\|T^n\|_\infty < 1$. We apply this criterion for the first schemes HCC^1 , HCC^2 and HCC^3 .

For the scheme HCC^1 , the subdivision matrices $S = (s(\alpha, \beta))$ and $T = (t(\alpha, \beta))$ are both periodic $s(\alpha + 4, \beta + 2) = s(\alpha, \beta)$ and $t(\alpha + 4, \beta + 2) = t(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The respective matrices $(s(\alpha, \beta))$ and $(t(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ are

$$\frac{1}{32} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 36 & -10 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 44 & -6 & 0 & 0 \\ -10 & 36 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 10 & 18 & 10 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{32} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 12 & 0 & 0 \\ -7 & 19 & 7 & -3 \\ -3 & 7 & 19 & -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $s(\alpha, \beta)$, $t(\alpha, \beta)$ are 0 if $\alpha = [0, 3]$ and $\beta \notin [0, 4]$. With this information, S and T are entirely known. After computations, we obtain $\|T\|_\infty = 9/8$ and $\|T^2\|_\infty = 5/8$. Since $\|T^2\|_\infty < 1$, HCC^1 is C^1 .

For Figure 3, let $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we consider the initial state of HCC^2 , $f_0(\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha 0}(\delta_{j0}, \delta_{j1}, \delta_{j2})^T$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding sequence of refinements f_n converges to a vector function: $(\phi_j, \phi'_j, \phi''_j)^T$. Figure 3 provides the graphs of these functions. The support of each function ϕ_j is $[-1, 2]$.




Figure 3: Basic limit functions and their derivatives of order 1 and 2 in the Hermite corner cutting of degree 2

For the scheme HCC^2 , the subdivision matrix T is periodic $t(\alpha+6, \beta+3) = t(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The matrix $(t(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha \in [0, 5]$ and $\beta \in [0, 5]$ is

$$\frac{1}{256} \begin{pmatrix} -24 & -808 & -88 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 24 & 296 & 24 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -112 & -1040 & -48 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 19 & 677 & 227 & 101 & 291 & 21 \\ -12 & -228 & -64 & -64 & -176 & -12 \\ 33 & 599 & 165 & 291 & 645 & 31 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $t(\alpha, \beta)$ are zero if $\alpha = [0, 5]$ and $\beta \notin [0, 5]$. The five first norms $\|T^n\|_\infty$,

$n = 1, \dots, 5$, are 6.8906, 5.2480, 2.8572, 1.4597, 0.7342. Since $\|T^5\|_\infty < 1$, HCC^2 is C^2 .

In a similar way, it is possible to verify that the Hermite corner cutting of degree 3 is C^3 by checking that $\|T^7\|_\infty = 0.6840 < 1$ for the corresponding subdivision matrix T .

7 Conclusion

Our goal was to get a criterion for the C^d convergence of a Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} of degree $d > 0$ in dimension 1. Under the spectral condition, we transformed the Hermite subdivision scheme \mathcal{H} into the Taylor subdivision scheme \mathcal{S} and the C^d -convergence of \mathcal{H} has been reduced to the C^0 -convergence of \mathcal{S} with equal components. This criterion has been applied to two families of Hermite subdivision schemes, the first being interpolatory, the second being the extension of corner cutting to Hermite subdivision schemes. Noninterpolatory schemes seem to be smoother than interpolatory ones.

References

- [1] M. D. Buhmann and C. Micchelli, Using two-slanted matrices for subdivision. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **69** (1994), 428-448.
- [2] G. Chaikin, An algorithm for high speed curve generation. *Computer Graphics and Image Processing* **3** (1974), 346-349.
- [3] I. Daubechies, I. Guskov and W. Sweldens, Commutation for Irregular Subdivision. *Constr. Approx.* **17** (2001) 479-514.
- [4] G. de Rham, Sur une courbe plane. *J. Math. Pures Appli.* **35** (1956), 25-42.
- [5] S. Dubuc and J.-L. Merrien, Convergent vector and Hermite subdivision schemes. *Constr. Approx.* **23** (2006) 1-22.
- [6] S. Dubuc, Scalar and Hermite subdivision schemes, *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal.* **21** (2006), 376-394.
- [7] N. Dyn, D. Levin, Analysis of Hermite-type subdivision schemes. In C. K. Chui and L. L. Schumaker Eds. *Approximation Theory VIII. Vol 2: Wavelets and Multilevel Approximation* (College Station, TX, 1995). World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. 117-124.

- [8] N. Dyn, D. Levin, Analysis of Hermite-interpolatory subdivision schemes. In *Spline Functions and the Theory of Wavelets*, S. Dubuc et G. Deslauriers, Ed. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R. I., 1999, pp. 105-113.
- [9] B. Han, Approximation properties and construction of Hermite interpolants and biorthogonal multiwavelets, *J. Approx. Theory* **110** (2001), 18-53.
- [10] S. Lang, *Linear Algebra*. Reprint of the third edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [11] D. Levin, Using Laurent polynomial representation for the analysis of non-uniform binary subdivision schemes. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **11** (1999), 41-54.
- [12] V. Maxim and M.-L. Mazure, Subdivision schemes and irregular grids. *Numer. Algorithms* **35** (2004), 1-28.
- [13] J.-L. Merrien, A family of Hermite interpolants by bisection algorithms, *Numer. Algorithms* **2** (1992), 187-200.
- [14] J.-L. Merrien, Interpolants d'Hermite C^2 obtenus par subdivision, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **33** (1999), no. 1, 55-65.
- [15] N. Obreshkov, On the mechanical quadratures. *J. Bulg. Acad. Sci. and Arts*, **65** (1942) 191-289.
- [16] B. Sendov, A. Andrew, Approximation and Interpolation Theory. In P. G. Ciarlet, J. L. Lions Eds, *Handbook of Numerical Analysis III*. North-Holland, 1994, 223-462.
- [17] T. P.-Y. Yu, On the regularity analysis of interpolatory Hermite subdivision schemes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302** (2005), 201-216.
- [18] D.-X. Zhou, Multiple refinable Hermite interpolants. *J. Approx. Theory* **102** (2000), 46-71.